FORM 10-Q UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

(Mark One)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 23, 1996 (12 Weeks)

0R

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-1183

PEPSICO, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

North Carolina (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 13-1584302 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

700 Anderson Hill Road, Purchase, New York (Address of principal executive offices)

10577 (Zip Code)

(914) 253-2000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES X NO

Number of shares of Capital Stock outstanding as of April 19, 1996: 1,567,362,908 (Adjusted for two-for-one stock split, effective May 10, 1996.)

PEPSICO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

Page No.

### Part I Financial Information:

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income - 12 weeks ended March 23, 1996 and March 25, 1995

2

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows - 12 weeks ended March 23, 1996 and March 25, 1995

3

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet - March 23, 1996 and December 30, 1995

4-5

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

6-8

|            | Management's Analysis of Operations,<br>Cash Flows and Financial Condition  | 9-29       |
|------------|---|------------|
|            | Independent Accountants' Review Report  | 30         |
| Part II    | Other Information and Signatures  | 31-32      |
| Exhibit 11 | Computation of Net Income Per Share of<br>Capital Stock - Primary and Fully<br>Diluted                            | 33-34      |
| Exhibit 12 | Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges   | 35-36      |
| Exhibit 15 | Letter from KPMG Peat Marwick LLP regarding Unaudited Interim Financial Information (Accountants' Acknowledgment) | g<br>37-38 |
| Exhibit 27 | Financial Data Schedule   | 39         |

- -1-PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

PEPSICO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME (in millions except per share amounts, unaudited)

|   | 12 Weeks Ended |           |
|---|----------------|-----------|
|   | 3/23/96        | 3/25/95   |
| Net Sales   | \$6,554        | \$6,157   |
| Costs and Expenses, net<br>Cost of sales<br>Selling, general and administrative | 3,206          | 3,022     |
| expenses  | 2,575          | ,         |
| Amortization of intangible assets Operating Profit                              | 67<br>706      | 69<br>629 |
| operating Front   | 700            | 029       |
| Interest expense  | (141)          | (160)     |
| Interest income   | 23             | 27        |
| Income Before Income Taxes  | 588            | 496       |
| Provision for Income Taxes  | 194            | 175       |
| Net Income  | \$ 394         | \$ 321    |
| Net Income Per Share (a)  | \$ 0.24        | \$ 0.20   |
| Cash Dividends Declared Per Share (a)   | \$ 0.10        | \$ 0.09   |
| Average Shares Outstanding Used<br>To Calculate Net Income Per Share (a)        | 1,619          | 1,599     |

<sup>(</sup>a) Adjusted to reflect a two-for-one stock split described in Note 2 to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

See accompanying notes.

- -2PEPSICO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH

| Cook Eleve Opensting Astivities  | 12 Weeks<br>3/23/96 |              |
|--|---------------------|--------------|
| Cash Flows - Operating Activities Net income                                     | \$ 394              | \$ 321       |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net                                       | Ψ 334               | Ψ 521        |
| cash provided by operating activities  |                     |              |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 374                 | 386          |
| Deferred income taxes  | 6                   | 1            |
| Other noncash charges and credits, net<br>Changes in operating working capital,  | 110                 | 106          |
| excluding effects of acquisitions  |                     |              |
| Accounts and notes receivable  | 15                  | (93)         |
| Inventories  | (6)                 | (14)         |
| Prepaid expenses, taxes and other current  |                     |              |
| assets   | (73)                | (46)         |
| Accounts payable<br>Income taxes payable   | (170)<br>62         | (240)<br>(6) |
| Other current liabilities  | (244)               | (202)        |
| Net change in operating working capital  | (416)               | , ,          |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities  | 468                 | 213          |
| Cook Flour Investing Astivities  |                     |              |
| Cash Flows - Investing Activities Acquisitions and investments in unconsolidated |                     |              |
| affiliates   | (14)                | (44)         |
| Capital spending   | (370)               | ` ,          |
| Sales of property, plant and equipment   | 13                  | 14           |
| Sales of restaurants   | 101                 | 8            |
| Short-term investments, by original maturity                                     | ()                  | ( )          |
| More than three months - purchases   | (24)                | ` ,          |
| More than three months - maturities<br>Three months or less, net                 | 45<br>33            | 33<br>11     |
| Other, net   | (40)                |              |
| Net Cash Used for Investing Activities   | (256)               | (480)        |
|  | (===)               | (122)        |
| Cash Flows - Financing Activities  |                     |              |
| Proceeds from issuances of long-term debt  | 606                 | 366          |
| Payments of long-term debt   | (156)               | (48)         |
| Short-term borrowings, by original maturity More than three months - proceeds    | 248                 | 520          |
| More than three months - payments  | (956)               |              |
| Three months or less, net  | 482                 | 395          |
| Cash dividends paid  | (158)               | (140)        |
| Purchases of treasury stock  | (331)               | (122)        |
| Proceeds from exercises of stock options   | 110                 |              |
| Other, net   | (11)                | (10)         |
| Net Cash (Used for) Provided by Financing Activities                             | (166)               | 231          |
| Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash  |                     |              |
| and Cash Equivalents   | (1)                 | (6)          |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and  |                     |              |
| Cash Equivalents   | 45                  | (42)         |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning of year                                    | 382                 | 331          |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents - End of period  | \$ 427              | \$ 289       |
|  |                     |              |

See accompanying notes.

- -3-

PEPSICO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (in millions)

ASSETS

| Current Assets                           | Unaudited<br>3/23/96 | 12/30/95 |
|--|----------------------|----------|
|  | Φ 407                | Φ 000    |
| Cash and cash equivalents                | \$ 427               |          |
| Short-term investments, at cost          | 1,062                | ,        |
|  | 1,489                | 1,498    |
| Accounts and notes receivable, less      |                      |          |
| allowance: 3/96 - \$158, 12/95 - \$150   | 2,405                | 2,407    |
| Inventories                              | ,                    | ,        |
| Raw materials and supplies               | 520                  | 550      |
| Finished goods                           | 538                  |          |
| Tinioned goods                           | 1,058                |          |
| Prepaid expenses, taxes and              | 1,000                | 1,031    |
| , , ,                                    | 000                  | F00      |
| other current assets                     | 663                  | 590      |
| Total Current Assets                     | 5,615                | 5,546    |
| Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates | 1,631                | 1,635    |
| Property, Plant and Equipment            | 16,886               | 16,751   |
| Accumulated Depreciation                 | ,                    | (6,881)  |
| Accumutated Depreciation                 |                      |          |
|  | 9,849                | 9,870    |
| Intangible Assets, net                   | 7,500                | 7,584    |
|  |                      |          |
| Other Assets                             | 818                  | 797      |
| Total Assets                             | \$25,413             | \$25,432 |

Continued on next page.

- -4-PEPSICO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (continued) (in millions except per share amount)

# LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

| Current Liabilities   | Unaudited<br>3/23/96                                     | 12/30/95   |
|---|--|--|
| Accounts payable Short-term borrowings Accrued compensation and benefits Income taxes payable Accrued marketing Other current liabilities Total Current Liabilities                               | \$ 1,384<br>1,250<br>727<br>570<br>388<br>1,247<br>5,566 | \$ 1,556<br>706<br>815<br>387<br>469<br>1,297<br>5,230 |
| Long-term Debt  | 8,208  | 8,509  |
| Other Liabilities   | 2,385  | 2,495  |
| Deferred Income Taxes   | 1,891  | 1,885  |
| Shareholders' Equity Capital stock, par value 1 2/3 cents per share:    authorized 3,600 shares, issued 3/96    and 12/95 - 1,726 shares (a) Capital in excess of par value (a) Retained earnings | 29<br>1,111<br>8,967                                     | 29<br>1,045<br>8,730                                   |

| Currency translation adjustment   | (829)<br>9,278   | (808)<br>8,996   |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Less: Treasury Stock, at Cost:<br>3/96 - 152 shares, 12/95 - 150 shares (a)<br>Total Shareholders' Equity | (1,915)<br>7,363 | (1,683)<br>7,313 |
| Total Liabilities and<br>Shareholders' Equity   | \$25,413         | \$25,432         |

(a) Adjusted to reflect a two-for-one stock split described in Note 2 to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

See accompanying notes.

- -5-PEPSICO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES (unaudited)

### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- (1) The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at March 23, 1996 and the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and Cash Flows for the 12 weeks ended March 23, 1996 and March 25, 1995 have not been audited, but have been prepared in conformity with the accounting principles applied in PepsiCo, Inc. and Subsidiaries' (PepsiCo) 1995 Annual Report on Form 10-K (Annual Report) for the year ended December 30, 1995, except as disclosed in Notes (3) and (4) below. In the opinion of management, this information includes all material adjustments, which are of a normal and recurring nature, necessary for a fair presentation. The results for the 12 weeks are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the year.
- (2) On May 1, 1996 PepsiCo's Board of Directors authorized a two-for-one stock split of PepsiCo's capital stock effective for shareholders of record at the close of business on May 10, 1996. The number of authorized shares was also increased from 1.8 billion to 3.6 billion. The current and prior period information in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, as well as all other share data in this report, have been adjusted to reflect this stock split and the increase in authorized shares. The par value remains 1 2/3 cents per share, with capital in excess of par value reduced to reflect the total par value of the additional shares.
- (3) Effective the beginning of the fourth quarter of 1995, PepsiCo adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121, "Accounting for Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of," which reduced the carrying amount of certain long-lived assets to be held and used in the business. As a result, depreciation and amortization expense in the first quarter of 1996 was reduced by \$15 million (\$10 million after-tax or \$0.01 per share).
- (4) Effective beginning fiscal year 1996, PepsiCo changed its classification of certain U.S. beverage promotional programs. To conform the first quarter 1995 results with those of 1996, a reclassification was made within the 1995 results, decreasing both net sales and selling, general and administrative expenses by \$34 million. This reclassification did not affect reported net income or net income per share.
- (5) Significant debt issuances and repayments (exclusive of commercial paper), including the related effects of any interest rate and/or foreign currency swaps entered into concurrently with the debt, are listed below. As disclosed in PepsiCo's 1995 Annual Report, PepsiCo enters into the swaps to effectively change the interest rate and currency of specific debt issuances with the objective of reducing borrowing costs.

| Average                           |               |              | weighted |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------|
| Average                           | Principal     | Maturity     | Interest |
| Debt Issued                       | (in millions) | Date         | Rate     |
| 12 weeks ended March 23, 1996:    |               |              |          |
|                                   | <b>#</b> 400  | 1007         | *        |
|                                   | \$490<br>74   | 1997<br>2000 | *        |
|                                   | 74<br>25      | 2008         | *        |
|                                   | 60            | 2011         | *        |
|                                   | \$649         |              |          |
| Subsequent to March 23, 1996:     |               |              |          |
|                                   | \$ 65         | 2001         | *        |
|                                   | Ψ 03<br>50    | 2011         | *        |
|                                   | \$115         |              |          |
|                                   | Principal     | Interest     |          |
| Debt Repayments                   | (in millions) | Rate         |          |
| 12 weeks ended March 23, 1996:    |               |              |          |
| TE WOOKS CHACK THAT ON EST, 1999. | \$245         | *            |          |
|                                   | 25            | 7.1%         |          |
|                                   | 50            | 6.9%         |          |
|                                   | \$320         |              |          |
| Subsequent to March 23, 1996      | \$ 85         | *            |          |
|                                   | 75            | 8.0%         |          |
|                                   | 15            | 14.4%        |          |
|                                   | \$175         |              |          |

Weighted

\* Variable rate debt indexed to either LIBOR or commercial paper rates.

Additionally, during the quarter PepsiCo entered into three interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$600 million which effectively converted \$600 million of variable rate debt indexed to either LIBOR or commercial paper rates to fixed rate debt with a weighted average interest rate of 5.4%. The variable rate debt represented original issue fixed rate debt that was effectively converted to variable rate debt as a result of interest rate swaps entered into concurrently with the fixed rate debt issuance. The interest rate differential to be paid or received on the three interest rate swaps will be recognized as an adjustment to interest expense as the differential occurs. The interest rate swap agreements mature in December 1996 at which time the underlying debt will revert back to variable rate debt under the initial interest rate swaps.

(6) At March 23, 1996, \$3.5 billion of short-term borrowings were included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet under the caption "Long-term Debt", reflecting PepsiCo's intent and ability, through the existence of unused revolving credit facilities, to refinance these borrowings on a long-term basis. At March 23, 1996, PepsiCo had unused revolving credit facilities covering potential borrowings aggregating \$3.5 billion which expire in January 2001.

<sup>- -7-</sup>

<sup>(7)</sup> Through the first quarter ended March 23, 1996, PepsiCo repurchased 10.8 million shares of its capital stock at a cost of \$331 million. For the period March 24, 1996 through April 25, 1996, PepsiCo repurchased 10.0 million shares of its capital stock at a cost of \$311 million.

<sup>(8)</sup> In January 1995, 22 million stock options were granted to senior management in a biennial grant under PepsiCo's Long-Term Incentive Plan. Of this amount, an immaterial number of options were subsequently converted at a three-for-one rate to performance share units. The exercise price for the grant was the fair market value of PepsiCo's capital stock on the date of the grant.

- -8-

## MANAGEMENT'S ANALYSIS OF OPERATIONS, CASH FLOWS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

As described in Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, a two-for-one stock split has been authorized for shareholders of record at the end of business on May 10, 1996. All share data in Management's Analysis has been adjusted to reflect the stock split.

Analysis of Consolidated Operations

Net Sales

|                  | 12      | Weeks Ended |             |
|------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| (\$ in millions) | 3/23/96 | 3/25/95     | %<br>Change |
| U.S.             | \$4,817 | \$4,470     | 8           |
| International    | 1,737   | 1,687       | 3           |
|                  | \$6,554 | \$6,157     | 6           |

Worldwide net sales rose \$397 million or 6% in 1996. The sales growth benefited from higher effective net pricing by each of our three business segments and volume gains of \$178 million, driven by worldwide snack foods. The higher effective net pricing reflected significant increases in international snack foods, driven by Mexico, and in worldwide restaurants, reflecting a mix shift to higher-priced products, increased pricing and reduced promotions. These benefits were partially offset by an unfavorable currency translation impact, primarily from a weaker Mexican peso. International net sales increased 3% and represented 27% of total net sales

in 1996 and 1995. As noted in the 1995 Annual Report and on page 15 of this Form 10-Q, certain of our international beverage results are being reported on a new basis in 1996. Although this change has no impact on full-year results, we are reporting only two months of results in the first quarter of this year from our franchise and administrative operations compared to 12 weeks last year. While not practicable to quantify, this change reduced sales growth.

Cost of Sales

12 Weeks Ended (\$ in millions) 3/23/96 3/25/95 Cost of sales \$3,206 \$3,022 As a percent of net sales 48.9% 49.1%

The modest decrease in cost of sales as a percent of net sales reflected lower raw material costs in U.S. beverages and higher effective pricing in U.S. restaurants. These decreases were substantially offset by international snack foods, where increased costs in Mexico were partially mitigated by price increases, a mix shift to higher cost meals in international restaurants and lower high-margin concentrate sales in international beverages reflecting the change in reporting periods.

- -9-Selling, General and Administrative Expenses (S,G&A)

|                           | 12 Weeks | Ended   |
|---------------------------|----------|---------|
| (\$ in millions)          | 3/23/96  | 3/25/95 |
| SG&A                      | \$2,575  | \$2,437 |
| As a percent of net sales | 39.3%    | 39.6%   |

SG&A is comprised of selling and distribution expenses (S&D), advertising and marketing expenses (A&M), and general and administrative expenses (G&A) which included gains on sales of assets, equity income (loss) as well as other income and expense. SG&A grew at a slightly lower rate than sales, to \$2.6 billion in 1996. S&D grew at a slower rate than sales, primarily reflecting increased effective pricing and lower depreciation and amortization, primarily in U.S. restaurants, as a result of the reduced carrying amount of assets in connection with the 1995 adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121 (SFAS 121), "Accounting for Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of," partially offset by labor related increases in U.S. beverages. A&M grew at a slower rate than sales reflecting a slower rate of spending in international beverages, international snack foods and U.S. restaurants, partially offset by a faster rate of spending in U.S. beverages. G&A grew at a faster rate than sales reflecting a faster rate of spending in worldwide snack foods and restaurants, partially offset by a slower rate of spending in U.S. beverages. The increase in worldwide snack foods reflected general business increases and, in the U.S., investment spending on new products and on expanding distribution capabilities. The increase in worldwide restaurants was mitigated by increased net refranchising gains of \$14 million attributable to sales of restaurants in excess of costs of closing other restaurants and a 1996 charge for the disposal of underperforming units at Hot `n Now. The slower rate of spending in U.S. beverages primarily reflected a litigation settlement with a supplier for prior year purchases and a gain on a sale of a bottling operation, partially offset by several national initiatives to upgrade information systems.

Amortization of intangible assets decreased 3% to \$67 million in 1996 from \$69 million in 1995. This noncash expense reduced net income per share by \$0.03 in 1996 and 1995.

Operating Profit

Operating Profit \$706 \$629 12

Operating profit increased \$77 million or 12%. The profit growth was driven by combined segment ongoing operating profit growth of 15%, which benefited from the higher effective net pricing, exceeding increased operating costs, volume growth of \$40 million and the net refranchising gains. The volume growth was driven by worldwide snack foods and U.S. beverages partially offset by international beverages due to the change in

#### - -10-

reporting periods. International segment profits increased 13% reflecting increases in all three segments. International profits represented 15% and 16% of segment operating profit in 1996 and 1995, respectively. Not included in segment operating profit was a net foreign exchange loss of \$3 million in 1996 and net foreign exchange gain of \$10 million in 1995.

### Interest Expense, net

| (                                   | 12            | Weeks Ended   | 0/           |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| (\$ in millions)                    | 3/23/96       | 3/25/95       | %<br>Change  |
| Interest expense<br>Interest income | \$(141)<br>23 | \$(160)<br>27 | (12)<br>(15) |
| Interest expense, net               | \$(118)       | \$(133)       | (11)         |

The 11% decline in interest expense, net primarily reflected lower interest rates on debt, primarily international, and reduced debt levels.

### Provision for Income Taxes

|                            | 12 Weeks Ended |         |  |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------|--|
| (\$ in millions)           | 3/23/96        | 3/25/95 |  |
| Provision for Income Taxes | \$194          | \$175   |  |
| Effective tax rate         | 33.0%          | 35.3%   |  |

The 1996 effective tax rate decreased 2.3 points to 33.0% primarily reflecting the effect of reversals in 1996 of prior year accruals no longer required as a result of the current year resolution of certain prior years' audit issues and prior year valuation allowances no longer required on state deferred tax assets. Both reversals adjusted the full-year forecast of the 1996 effective tax rate.

### Net Income

| (\$ in millions except per share amounts)   | 12 Weeks Ended<br>% |                  |          |  |
|---|---------------------|------------------|----------|--|
| •   | 3/23/96             | 3/25/95          | Change   |  |
| Net Income<br>Net Income Per Share<br>Average Shares Outstanding<br>Used to Calculate Net | \$394<br>\$.24      | \$ 321<br>\$ .20 | 23<br>20 |  |
| Income Per Share  | 1,619               | 1,599            | 1        |  |

# Impairement of Long-Lived Assets

As discussed in our 1995 Annual Report, PepsiCo historically evaluated and measured impairment of long-lived assets held and used in the business on a total division basis. As a result of adopting SFAS 121, PepsiCo now evaluates impairment in the restaurant segment by individual restaurant and, for each of the snack food and beverage segments, assets are generally grouped at the country level. Absent a significant change in

### 11\_

circumstances that would require an immediate evaluation for impairment, PepsiCo intends to perform impairment recognition and measurement tests in the second and fourth quarters of 1996. Due to the complex analyses and calculations associated with this evaluation, along with the large size of our restaurant portfolio, management believes this approach results in a reasonable application of the requirements of SFAS 121. The second quarter evaluation will include two categories of assets: those assets previously evaluated for impairment where, due to changes in circumstances, a current forecast of future cash flows would be expected to be significantly lower than the forecast used in the prior evaluation,

and those assets that in the first half of 1996 initially meet the "history of operating losses" impairment indicator we use to identify potentially impaired assets. Management believes that impairment charges are probable in 1996, particularly in the restaurant segment, but anticipates that such impairment charges will be more than offset by refranchising gains net of costs of closing other stores.

- -12-PEPSICO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NET SALES AND OPERATING PROFIT (a) (\$ in millions, unaudited)

|                                      | 12 Weeks<br>3/23/96 |                           | %<br>Change | 12 Weeks           |                   | %               |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Beverages<br>U.S.<br>International   |                     | \$1,363<br>570<br>1,933   |             | \$244<br>12<br>256 | \$209<br>2<br>211 | 17<br>500<br>21 |
| Snack Foods<br>U.S.<br>International | ,                   |                           | 10          | 247<br>73<br>320   | 232<br>71<br>303  | 6<br>3<br>6     |
| Restaurants<br>U.S.<br>International | ,                   |                           | 5<br>7<br>6 | 148<br>31<br>179   | 112<br>30<br>142  | 32<br>3<br>26   |
| Total<br>U.S.<br>International       | ,                   | 4,470<br>1,687<br>\$6,157 | 8<br>3<br>6 | 639<br>116<br>755  | 553<br>103<br>656 | 16<br>13<br>15  |
| Equity Loss                          |                     |                           |             | (3)                | (2)               | 50              |

| Unallocated Exper                                  | ises,        | net                         | (b)        |                   |             | (46)              | (25)              | 84               |
|--|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Operating Profit                                   |              |                             |            |                   |             | \$706             | \$629             | 12               |
| By U.S. Restaurar<br>Pizza Hut<br>Taco Bell<br>KFC | nt Cha<br>\$ | ain (d<br>905<br>742<br>386 | ©)<br>\$   | 845<br>728<br>358 | 7<br>2<br>8 | \$106<br>22<br>20 | \$ 65<br>33<br>14 | 63<br>(33)<br>43 |
|  | \$2          | 033                         | <b>\$1</b> | 931               | 5           | \$1 <i>1</i> 8    | <b>\$112</b>      | 32               |

#### NOTES:

- (a) This schedule should be read in conjunction with Management's Analysis beginning on page 14.
- (b) Includes corporate headquarters expenses, minority interests, foreign exchange translation and transaction gains and losses and other items not allocated to the business segments. A net foreign exchange loss of \$3 and gain of \$10 were included in 1996 and 1995, respectively.
   (c) PepsiCo has historically provided results for each of its three major
- (c) PepsiCo has historically provided results for each of its three major restaurant concepts (which included the results of other U.S. concepts managed by Taco Bell and Pizza Hut) on a worldwide basis. Beginning with the fourth quarter of 1995, PepsiCo changed the presentation of the restaurant results to more closely reflect how we currently manage the business. Net sales and operating profit are now provided for each of PepsiCo's three major U.S. concepts (including the results of the other concepts managed by Taco Bell and Pizza Hut) and in total for the international restaurant operations. Previously reported amounts have been restated to conform to the current presentation.

- -13-

Segments Of The Business

Beverages

|                  | 12      | Weeks Ended | %      |
|------------------|---------|-------------|--------|
| (\$ in millions) | 3/23/96 | 3/25/95     | Change |
| Net Sales        | \$1,439 | \$1,363*    | 6      |
| U.S.             | 524     | 570         | (8)    |
| International    | \$1,963 | \$1,933     | 2      |
| Operating Profit | \$ 244  | \$ 209      | 17     |
| U.S.             | 12      | 2           | 500    |
| International    | \$ 256  | \$ 211      | 21     |

<sup>\*</sup> U.S. net sales have been restated to conform to the 1996 presentation. See Note 4 to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Sales in the U.S. rose \$76 million or 6%. Volume growth contributed \$35 million, led by carbonated soft drink (CSD) packaged products. The sales growth also reflected higher pricing on most CSD packages, led by single-serve packages, as well as increased fountain syrup and concentrate pricing.

System bottler case sales of Pepsi Corporate brands (BCS) consists of sales of packaged products to retailers and through vending machines and fountain syrup by company-owned and franchised bottlers. BCS in the U.S. increased more than 4%, reflecting high single-digit growth in the Mountain Dew brand and solid increases in Brand Pepsi. BCS growth also benefited from increased sales of Mug brand root beer, reflecting the expansion of Mug to more than 90% of our distribution system. Total alternative beverages, which include Lipton brand ready-to-drink tea and All Sport products, grew at a strong double-digit rate, primarily reflecting growth in both brands. The growth in Lipton, which represents approximately 70% of our alternative beverages BCS, was due to volume gains from Lipton Brisk and fountain syrup which more than offset lower volume of the premium-priced Lipton Original. Lipton Original has been reintroduced with new packaging and a new name, Lipton's Brew, in the second quarter of this year. Excluding the alternative beverages, BCS growth was almost 4%. Fountain syrup BCS grew at a faster rate than packaged products.

Profit in the U.S. increased \$35 million or 17%. Profit growth reflected the higher pricing and \$20 million of volume gains. Advertising and marketing grew at a double-digit rate primarily due to the Pepsi Stuff promotion campaign. Selling and distribution expenses grew at about the same rate as sales, though at a faster rate than volume, reflecting increased salaries and wages. Administrative expenses increased, reflecting costs incurred in connection with national initiatives to

upgrade information systems. Profit growth was favorably impacted by a \$7 million litigation settlement with a supplier for purchases made in prior years and a \$3 million gain on the sale of a bottling business. The profit margin increased more than 1 1/2 points to 17.0%.

### - -14-

As previously disclosed in PepsiCo's 1995 Annual Report, 1996 quarterly results for international beverages will not be comparable to 1995's because its results, except for Canada, will be reported on a monthly basis. For 1995, generally only the company-owned bottling operations reported results on a monthly basis. As a result, the franchise and administrative operations are reporting only 2 months of results in the first quarter of 1996 compared to 3 periods (12 weeks) last year, a difference of 25 days. The 25 days will reverse over the remaining quarters in 1996 and accordingly, full-year results will be comparable. International sales declined \$46 million or 8% primarily due to the

change in reporting periods.

International BCS grew 3%, led by Growth Markets (primarily Brazil, China, Eastern Europe and India, where we are investing heavily because we believe they have high growth potential) which, on a combined basis, grew nearly 20%. The BCS increase in our Growth Markets reflected strong doubledigit growth in China, India and the Czech and Slovak Republics as well as solid growth in Brazil. The international BCS growth also reflected tripledigit growth in South Africa, albeit on a small base, as well as a strong increase in Thailand. These advances were partially offset by declines in Mexico, our largest international BCS market, and Argentina, reflecting adverse economic conditions and increased competition in these countries.

International profit increased \$10 million or 500%, due in part to lapping weak results in the first quarter of last year. The profit increase was primarily due to increased profitability in our Canadian bottling operations and a benefit from a \$4 million settlement received from the distributor of Stolichnaya vodka for the reduction in the 1996 volume commitment under a take-or-pay contract. These gains were partially offset by lapping a first quarter 1995 reversal of the remaining \$3 million of a restructuring accrual originally recorded in 1992. The profit margin increased nearly 2 points to 2.3%.

- -15-Snack Foods

| 12 Weeks E | nd | ed |
|------------|----|----|
|------------|----|----|

|                                    | 12 weeks Elided %         |                           |                |  |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--|
| (\$ in millions)                   | 3/23/96                   | 3/25/95                   | Change         |  |
| Net Sales<br>U.S.<br>International | \$1,345<br>711<br>\$2,056 | \$1,176<br>648<br>\$1,824 | 14<br>10<br>13 |  |

| U.S.          | \$<br>247 | \$<br>232 | 6 |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|---|
| International | 73        | 71        | 3 |
|               | \$<br>320 | \$<br>303 | 6 |

Sales in the U.S. grew \$169 million or 14%. The sales increase reflected volume growth of \$119 million, the effects of increased pricing taken in the latter half of 1995 across all major brands, and to a lesser extent, a favorable sales mix shift to low-fat and no-fat products. The volume growth reflected gains in almost all major brands, led by low-fat and no-fat snacks, which accounted for about 40% of the sales growth.

Pound volume in the U.S. advanced 10%, reflecting exceptional performance from the low-fat and no-fat categories. These categories contributed over 35% of the total pound growth, driven by Baked Lay's brand potato chips, Baked Tostitos brand tortilla chips, Tostitos brand salsa and Ruffles Light. Rold Gold brand pretzels volume declined slightly reflecting the absence of national advertising. Doritos brand tortilla chips, driven by new flavor extensions such as Doritos brand Pizza Craver's and Taco Supreme, and a national advertising campaign, had solid singledigit pound growth. Strong double-digit growth of Tostitos brand tortilla chips, excluding Baked Tostitos, was fueled by the exclusive sponsorship of the Tostitos Fiesta Bowl. Other Ruffles brand potato chips grew doubledigits, benefiting from new flavor extensions like Ruffles KC Masterpiece Barbecue Flavor brand potato chips and French Onion Flavored Ruffles. Other Lay's brand potato chips grew single-digit driven by Lay's Salsa & Cheese Flavored brand potato chips. Fritos brand corn chips grew a strong single-digit reflecting higher promotional spending. Chee.tos brand cheese flavored snacks had strong single-digit growth aided by a national advertising campaign supporting the introduction of Chee.tos Checkers.

Profit in the U.S. grew \$15 million or 6%. The profit increase reflected strong volume growth, which contributed \$56 million, the higher pricing that exceeded increased promotional price allowances and merchandising support, and the mix shift to higher-margin low-fat and nofat products. This profit growth was offset by higher manufacturing costs, reflecting increased capacity costs, and increased selling, and distribution and administrative expenses. The higher administrative expenses reflected investment spending to sustain strong volume growth, including improved delivery systems, costs associated with development of new products using the recently approved fat-replacer, Olean, and costs related to new single-serve sweet snack products produced under a joint venture arrangement with Sara Lee Bakery. Also contributing to the

### - -16-

increased expenses were costs incurred in an effort to capture volume that has become available as a result of a recent decision by Anheuser-Busch to exit the salty snack food business. Favorable oil, potato and packaging prices were substantially offset by unfavorable corn prices. Although difficult to forecast, our 1996 commodity costs are expected to increase modestly over 1995. Potato prices are anticipated to increase slightly in the second quarter due to weather related problems, with full-year prices expected to remain about even with 1995, while prices of corn and potato flakes, used in Baked Lay's, are expected to increase. Carton and packaging prices in 1996 are expected to be modestly lower than 1995. The profit margin decreased over 1 point to 18.4%.

Mexico's results in 1995 had been adversely impacted by economic difficulties resulting from the significant devaluation of the Mexican peso late in 1994. Although the affects of the devaluation of the peso continues into 1996, the impact on 1996 results is far less significant as we began to lap the 1994 devaluation. In the first quarter of 1996, net sales in Mexico increased 3%, while operating profit increased 12%. Sabritas and Gamesa, our operations in Mexico, are discussed separately below

International sales increased \$63 million or 10%. The sales increase reflected higher pricing, primarily the effect of 1995 pricing actions in Mexico, and increased volume growth of \$40 million, partially offset by a net unfavorable currency translation impact of the Mexican peso.

International kilo growth is reported on a systemwide basis, which includes both consolidated businesses and joint ventures operating for at least one year. Salty snack kilos rose 7%, reflecting double-digit volume growth in the U.K., Brazil and Canada; Korea and the Netherlands also achieved strong double-digit growth fueled, in part, by in-bag promotions. These gains were partially offset by a double-digit decline in Spain reflecting the lapping of a 1995 successful in-bag promotion. Sweet snack kilos grew 5%, reflecting strong double-digit advances in Poland and low single-digit growth at Gamesa, partially offset by a double-digit decrease at the Alegro sweet snack division of Sabritas, due to lapping a successful 1995 promotion.

International operating profit increased \$2 million or 3%. The operating profit growth reflected the higher pricing and increased volumes of \$7 million, which were substantially offset by higher operating costs, a

net unfavorable currency translation impact, primarily in Mexico, and increased administrative expenses. The growth in operating costs reflected increased manufacturing costs primarily due to higher commodity prices and selling and distribution expenses. The increased administrative costs reflected general business growth. The profit margin declined over one-half point to 10.3%.

The following are discussions by key business.

Operating profit declined about 5% at Sabritas reflecting an increase in operating costs and an unfavorable currency translation impact, which were almost entirely offset by higher pricing resulting primarily from the 1995 increases. The increased operating costs reflected significantly higher manufacturing costs due to higher ingredient prices as well as increased selling and distribution expenses. Lower-margin sweet snack kilo volume from the Alegro division decreased 10% lapping the successful 1995 promotion. Higher-margin salty snack kilos were about even with 1995.

Gamesa's profit grew about 75%, despite the effects of the economic difficulties resulting from the late 1994 devaluation of the Mexican peso, as higher pricing, primarily the effect of the 1995 increases, more than offset higher operating costs and the unfavorable currency translation impact. The increased operating costs primarily reflected higher manufacturing costs due to higher ingredient prices and increased selling and distribution expenses, reflecting route expansion. Sweet snack kilos

#### \_ \_17\_

grew 3%, driven by the route expansion. The very high profit growth experienced this quarter is not expected to continue because Gamesa does not expect to be able to continue to aggressively increase pricing ahead of cost increases.

Walkers' profit increased modestly. Higher pricing, due to a 1995 fourth quarter price increase, and increased volume, reflecting the continued strength of Walkers crisps and Doritos brand tortilla chips, new packaging and increased promotions, were substantially offset by an unfavorable mix shift to lower-margin multi-packs and higher operating costs.

Brazil's profit increased about 10%. Increased volume of core brands, and lower manufacturing costs, primarily lower packaging and potato prices, were almost entirely offset by an unfavorable mix shift to lower-margin packages. Brazil is operating at maximum capacity and investments are being made to expand production capacity to meet the strong consumer demand. The most significant portion of the expanded production capacity is expected to be available in mid-1996.

U.S.

International

#### 12 Weeks Ended 3/23/96 Change 3/25/95 (\$ in millions) Net Sales \$1,931 5 ILS. \$2,033 International 7 502 469 \$2,400 6 \$2,535 Operating | Profit

148

31

179

Net sales by PFS, PepsiCo's restaurant distribution operation, to the franchisee and licensee operations of each restaurant chain and the related estimated operating profit have been allocated to each restaurant chain. Also, restaurant units constructed or acquired, principally from franchisees (collectively "new units"), are treated the same for purposes of the Restaurants analysis. These new units, net of units closed or refranchised (company-operated restaurants sold to existing or new franchisees) are collectively referred to as "additional units".

\$ 112

30

142

32

3

26

In 1996 PepsiCo refranchised and closed 302 units and opened 77 new units. The effect of these kinds of unit-related actions increased worldwide restaurant sales and operating profit by an estimated \$30 million and \$31 million, respectively. The sales growth reflected an estimated \$107 million from the combined impact of new units, and increased PFS sales and initial franchise fee income, both related to refranchised units, partially offset by an estimated \$77 million from the absence of 1995 sales from units refranchised or closed. The profit growth reflected an estimated \$20 million from the combined impact of new units, and increased PFS operating profit and initial franchise fee income, both related to refranchised units, partially offset by an estimated \$3 million from the absence of 1995 profit from units refranchised or closed. In addition, profit growth included \$14 million of increased net refranchising gains reflecting a net gain of \$17 million in 1996 (\$41 million of refranchising gains offset by \$24 million of costs of closing other restaurants and a charge for the disposal of underperforming units at Hot `n Now) as compared to a net gain of \$3 million in 1995 (\$7 million of refranchising gains offset by \$4 million of costs of closing other restaurants.)

- -19-1996 Restaurant Unit Activity

| Company-<br>Operated | Joint<br>Venture F             | ranchised                  | Licensed                            | Total  |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
|                      |                                |                            |                                     |  |
| 12,819               | 1,004                          | 12,025                     | 2,748                               | 28,596   |
|                      |                                |                            |                                     |  |
| 77                   | 14                             | 152                        | 274                                 | 517  |
|                      |                                |                            |                                     |  |
| , ,                  | -                              |                            | -                                   | -  |
| , ,                  | ` ,                            | ` '                        | ` ,                                 | (256)  |
| 12,594               | 1,016                          | 12,300                     | 2,947                               | 28,857   |
|                      |                                |                            |                                     |  |
| 10,365               | 78                             | 7,599                      | 2,551                               | 20,593   |
|                      | 77<br>(182)<br>(120)<br>12,594 | Operated Venture F  12,819 | Operated Venture Franchised  12,819 | Operated Venture Franchised Licensed  12,819    1,004    12,025    2,748  77    14    152    274  (182)    -    182    - |

| Acquisitions    | 56     | -   | 39    | 257   | 352    |
|-----------------|--------|-----|-------|-------|--------|
| Refranchising & |        |     |       |       |        |
| Licensing       | (153)  | -   | 153   | -     | -      |
| Closures        | (109)  | (1) | (15)  | (71)  | (196)  |
| March 23, 1996  | 10,159 | 77  | 7,776 | 2,737 | 20,749 |

<sup>\*</sup> The U.S. joint venture units represent California Pizza Kitchen.

[Note: A summary of the 1996 restaurant unit activity for each U.S. concept and for international restaurant operations is included in each of the following discussions.]

Restaurant operating profit also included a \$13 million benefit from lower depreciation and amortization expense. This resulted from the reduced carrying amount of certain long-lived assets to be held and used in the business in connection with the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121 (SFAS 121), "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of," as of the beginning of the fourth quarter of 1995.

As discussed in more detail on page 11, PepsiCo intends to perform the impairment recognition and measurement tests required by SFAS 121 for company-operated restaurants in the second and fourth quarters of this year. Management currently anticipates that any 1996 impairment charges will be more than offset by refranchising gains net of costs of closing other restaurants.

### - -20-Pizza Hut - U.S.

The tables of operating results and unit activity presented below include Pizza Hut as well as D'Angelo Sandwich Shops (D'Angelo) and East Side Mario's concepts, which are managed by Pizza Hut. As Pizza Hut and D'Angelo are generally integrated, the elements in the year-over-year discussion of net sales and operating profit that follows include both Pizza Hut and D'Angelo but exclude East Side Mario's, unless otherwise indicated.

# 12 Weeks Ended /96 3/25/95 (

%

| (\$ in millions) | 3/23/96 | 3/25/95 | Change |
|------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| Net Sales        | \$905   | \$845   | 7      |
| Operating Profit | \$106   | \$ 65   | 63     |

## 1996 Restaurant Unit Activity

|                                   | Company-<br>Operated | Franchised | Licensed | Total |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|-------|
| Beginning of Year<br>New Builds & | 5,201                | 2,819      | 863      | 8,883 |
| Acquisitions<br>Refranchising &   | 30                   | 15         | 46       | 91    |
| Licensing                         | (75)                 | 75         | -        | -     |
| Closures                          | (84)                 | (8)        | (8)      | (100) |
| March 23, 1996                    | 5,072                | 2,901      | 901      | 8,874 |
|                                   |                      |            |          |       |

Net sales increased \$60 million or 7%. The sales growth was driven by an 8% increase in same store sales for company-operated units and \$28 million from the combined impact of sales related to new units, and increased PFS sales and initial franchise fee income, both related to refranchised units, partially offset by the absence of \$35 million of 1995 sales associated with company-operated units that were closed or refranchised. The improved

same store sales performance was fueled by a higher average guest check, driven by TripleDecker Pizza, introduced nationally early in the first quarter, less promotional pricing than in 1995, and increased volume of \$8 million. Same store sales reflected double-digit growth in carryout and delivery, and solid growth in dine-in. Sales growth also benefited from increased pricing by PFS.

Operating profit increased \$41 million or 63%, led by refranchising gains of \$27 million in 1996 as compared to \$4 million of net refranchising gains in 1995 (\$7 million of refranchising gains offset by \$3 million of costs of closing other restaurants). Profit growth also reflected the lower promotional pricing, the strong performance of TripleDecker Pizza and increased franchise royalty revenues. These benefits were partially offset by higher store operating costs and increased field and headquarters overhead. The increased store operating costs primarily reflected higher cheese, wheat and meat prices, partially offset by lower depreciation and amortization expense as a result of the reduced carrying amount of restaurant assets in connection with the 1995 adoption of SFAS 121. Operating profit from new units, and increased PFS operating profit and initial franchise fee income, both related to the refranchised units, slightly exceeded the absence of 1995 operating profit from units refranchised or closed. The operating profit margin increased 4 points to 11.7%, primarily reflecting the benefits of the net refranchising gains. - -21-

Taco Bell - U.S.

The tables of operating results and unit activity presented below include Taco Bell as well as the Hot `n Now (HNN) and Chevys concepts, which are managed by Taco Bell. The elements in the year-over-year discussion of net sales and operating profit that follows do not include HNN and Chevys, unless otherwise indicated.

|                  | 12 Weeks Ended |         |             |  |
|------------------|----------------|---------|-------------|--|
| (\$ in millions) | 3/23/96        | 3/25/95 | %<br>Change |  |
| Net Sales        | \$742          | \$728   | 2           |  |
| Operating Profit | \$ 22          | \$ 33   | (33)        |  |

1996 Restaurant Unit Activity

|                                   | Company-<br>Operated | Franchised   | Licensed      | Total         |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| Beginning of Year<br>New Builds & | 3,133                | 1,779        | 1,578         | 6,490         |
| Acquisitions<br>Refranchising &   | 22                   | 19           | 206           | 247           |
| Licensing                         | (78)                 | 78           | -             | -             |
| Closures<br>March 23, 1996        | (7)<br>3,070         | (2)<br>1,874 | (57)<br>1,727 | (66)<br>6,671 |

Net sales increased \$14 million or 2%. The sales growth was led by higher effective net pricing, reflecting increased pricing and lower promotional activity, and increased franchise royalty revenues and license fees. A decline in restaurant volume of \$18 million was offset by increased PFS sales to franchisees of \$8 million. Same store sales for company-operated units declined 1%, though volume decreased at a faster rate. Sales growth benefited by \$43 million from the combined effect of sales associated with new units, increased PFS sales and initial franchise fee income, both related to the refranchised units, partially offset by the absence of \$30 million of 1995 sales associated with company-operated units that were closed or refranchised. Increased sales at Chevys, primarily reflecting additional units, were substantially offset by a decline in sales at HNN, primarily reflecting the absence of 1995 sales associated with company-operated units licensed after the first quarter of 1995.

Operating profit declined \$11 million or 33%, driven by significantly increased losses from HNN, primarily reflecting a \$25 million charge discussed below. Core Taco Bell business operating profit growth benefited from refranchising gains of \$12 million, the higher net pricing and increased franchise royalty revenues and license fees. These benefits were partially offset by volume declines of \$8 million, a net unfavorable product mix shift to lower-margin products and higher store operating costs. The higher store operating costs reflected an increase in labor costs as a result of an initiative to increase management in the restaurants, higher repairs and maintenance expenses and increased local store marketing costs, partially offset by favorable food costs and lower depreciation and amortization expense as a result of the reduced carrying amount of restaurant assets in connection with the 1995 adoption of SFAS 121. The lower food costs were led by lower beef prices, partially offset

by higher chicken and cheese prices. Operating profit from new units, and

- -22-

initial franchise fee income and increased PFS operating profit, both related to the refranchised units, slightly exceeded the absence of 1995 operating profit from units refranchised or closed.

Chevys reported a small operating profit compared to an operating loss in 1995. The improvement reflected the benefit of a margin improvement program and additional units. HNN's losses increased significantly, reflecting the \$25 million charge related to the write-down of assets held for disposal and additional store closure costs, partially offset by the absence of 1995 operating losses associated with licensed or closed units and reduced depreciation and amortization expense as a result of the reduced carrying amount of restaurant assets in connection with the 1995 adoption of SFAS 121. During the first quarter, our two largest licensees closed and returned 36 units. All of these units were de-identified as HNN units and are held for sale. It is reasonably possible that some or all of the remaining 32 licensed units may be sold to an existing licensee or closed and returned during 1996. Taco Bell is continuing its efforts to sell the remaining company-operated HNN units, all closed units and undeveloped sites.

The Taco Bell operating profit margin declined 1 1/2 points to 3.0%, reflecting the unfavorable impact of the \$25 million charge at HNN, partially offset by the \$12 million of refranchising gains.

- -23-KFC - U.S.

Net Sales

(\$ in millions)

Operating Profit \$ 20 \$ 14

1996 Restaurant Unit Activity

Company-

Operated Franchised Licensed Total

43

| Beginning of Year<br>New Builds & | 2,031 | 3,001 | 110 | 5,142 |  |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-----|-------|--|
| Acquisitions                      | 4     | 5     | 5   | 14    |  |
| Refranchising & Licensing         | -     | -     | -   | -     |  |
| Closures                          | (18)  | (5)   | (6) | (29)  |  |
| End of Year                       | 2,017 | 3,001 | 109 | 5,127 |  |

Net sales rose \$28 million or 8%. The sales growth was driven by a 7% increase in same store sales for company-operated units, driven by a higher average guest check primarily resulting from increased pricing and a favorable mix shift. New product offerings introduced in the latter half of 1995 such as Colonel's Crispy Strips and Chunky Chicken Pot Pies and increases attributable to combined KFC and Taco Bell stores and home delivery stores also contributed to the sales growth. These gains were partially offset by base business volume declines.

Operating profit increased \$6 million or 43%. The profit growth primarily reflected the increased pricing and mix shift partially offset by increased store operating and overhead costs. The higher store operating costs reflected increased food costs and higher labor costs, resulting from efforts to improve restaurant quality and service, partially offset by lower depreciation and amortization expense as a result of the reduced carrying amount of restaurant assets in connection with the 1995 adoption of SFAS 121. The increased food costs primarily reflected higher chicken prices. The profit margin increased over 1 point to 5.2%.

- -24-International

|                  | 12 Weeks Ended |         |             |  |
|------------------|----------------|---------|-------------|--|
| (\$ in millions) | 3/23/96        | 3/25/95 | %<br>Change |  |
| Net Sales        | \$502          | \$469   | 7           |  |
| Operating Profit | \$ 31          | \$ 30   | 3           |  |

### 1996 Restaurant Unit Activity

|                                   | Company-<br>Operated | Joint<br>Venture F | ranchised | Licensed | Total |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------|-------|
| Beginning of Year<br>New Builds & | 2,454                | 926                | 4,426     | 197      | 8,003 |
| Acquisitions<br>Refranchising     | 21                   | 14                 | 113       | 17       | 165   |
| Licensing                         | (29)                 | -                  | 29        | -        | -     |
| Closures                          | (11)                 | (1)                | (44)      | (4)      | (60)  |
| March 23, 1996                    | 2,435                | 939                | 4,524     | 210      | 8,108 |

The KFC, Pizza Hut and Taco Bell concepts represented approximately 50%, 45% and 5%, respectively, of total international restaurant sales in 1996 and 1995.

Net sales increased \$33 million or 7%, with Pizza Hut representing approximately 55% of the increased sales. The sales increase primarily reflected additional units which contributed \$21 million, higher effective net pricing and increased franchise royalty revenues, partially offset by net unfavorable currency translation effects. The increased franchise royalty revenues primarily reflected lapping the effect of one less reporting period for KFC franchise results in 1995.

Operating profit increased \$1 million or 3%. Concept contribution, as

measured by store level contribution and franchise royalty revenues, was led by Pizza Hut, while KFC and Taco Bell were about even with last year. The profit growth reflected higher effective net pricing, additional units which contributed \$5 million, the increased franchise royalty revenues and net favorable currency translation impacts. Profit growth also benefited from \$2 million of Pizza Hut refranchising gains. These gains were offset by higher store operating costs, led by increased food prices, partially offset by lower depreciation and amortization expense as a result of the reduced carrying amount of restaurant assets in connection with the 1995 adoption of SFAS 121, and increased administrative and support costs. The increased administrative and support costs primarily reflected project spending, and lapping the effect of one less reporting period for KFC headquarters expenses in 1995, partially offset by savings from the 1995 consolidation of separate regional and country offices supporting KFC and Pizza Hut operations. The operating profit margin declined slightly to 6.2%.

Following is a discussion of operating profit by key international market. A double-digit profit decline in Australia, our largest international sales market, was primarily driven by promoted product offerings, partially offset by reduced administrative costs resulting from the consolidation of KFC and Pizza Hut country offices. Profit growth in Canada, our second largest international sales market, benefited from the

#### - -25-

introduction of TripleDecker Pizza and a lower level of promotional activity. Double-digit profit gains in Korea, our largest international profit market, primarily reflected additional units and higher net pricing, partially offset by volume declines and increased labor costs.

#### Cash Flows and Financial Condition

### Summary of Cash Flows

In the first quarter of 1996, net cash provided by operating activities, debt activities, stock option exercises and sales of restaurants of \$468 million, \$224 million, \$110 million and \$101 million, respectively, funded capital spending, share repurchases and dividend payments of \$370 million, \$331 million and \$158 million, respectively.

### Summary of Operating Activities

| (\$ in millions)                          | 12 Weeks Ended |         |  |
|---|----------------|---------|--|
|   | 3/23/96        | 3/25/95 |  |
| Net income                                | \$ 394         | \$ 321  |  |
| Noncash charges and credits, net          | 490            | 493     |  |
| Income before noncash charges and credits | 884            | 814     |  |
| Net change in operating working capital   | (416)          | (601)   |  |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | \$ 468         | \$ 213  |  |

Net cash provided by operating activities rose \$255 million or 120% from 1995, reflecting a reduction in working capital outflows of \$185 million and a \$73 million increase in net income. The reduction in working capital net outflows primarily reflected a reduction in accounts and notes receivable in 1996 as compared to an increase in 1995, a smaller seasonal decline in accounts payable and an increase in income taxes payable in 1996, due to lower tax payments, partially offset by a greater decline in other current liabilities. The cash inflows from accounts and notes receivable was driven by a sale of \$110 million of trade accounts receivable during the first quarter of 1996 to take advantage of favorable effective financing rates implicit in the transaction, as compared to commercial paper financing.

### Summary of Investing Activities

| (\$ in millions)  |  | ks Ended<br>3/25/95                             |
|---|--|---|
| Acquisitions and investments in unconsolidated affiliates Capital spending Sales of restaurants Net short-term investments Other investing activities, net Net Cash Used for Investing Activities | \$ (14)<br>(370)<br>101<br>54<br>(27)<br>\$(256) | \$ (44)<br>(399)<br>8<br>(3)<br>(42)<br>\$(480) |

The decreased net cash outflows for investing activities principally reflected increased proceeds from sales of restaurants and short-term investment portfolios of \$93 million and \$57 million, respectively, as well as reduced acquisition and investment activity and capital spending of \$30 million and \$29 million, respectively. The proceeds from the sales of restaurants are part of management's strategy to improve restaurant

### - -27-

operating results and investment returns as outlined in our 1995 Annual Report. With respect to short-term investment portfolios, which are primarily held outside the U.S., PepsiCo continually reassesses its alternatives to redeploy them considering investment opportunities and risks, tax consequences and overall financing strategies. The decline in capital spending primarily reflected decreased spending in restaurants of \$60 million, partially offset by increased U.S. snack food spending of \$34 million, primarily for capacity expansion for both established and new products. For the full year, acquisition and investment activity is expected to be substantially lower than in 1995.

### Summary of Financing Activities

| Net short and long-term debt             | \$ 224  | \$ 465 |
|--|---------|--------|
| Cash dividends paid                      | (158)   | (140)  |
| Purchases of treasury stock              | (331)   | (122)  |
| Proceeds from exercises of stock options | 110     | 38     |
| Other, net                               | (11)    | (10)   |
| Net Cash (Used for) Provided by          |         |        |
| Financing Activities                     | \$(166) | \$ 231 |

The \$397 million decline in cash flows from financing activities principally reflected reduced proceeds from debt activity of \$241 million and increased share repurchases of \$209 million, partially offset by increased proceeds of \$72 million from stock option exercises.

See Note 5 to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for details of debt issuances and repayments during the quarter. As part of managing our overall interest cost, during the quarter PepsiCo entered into three interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$600 million that have been layered onto existing positions. The three swaps effectively converted \$600 million of variable rate debt to fixed rate debt for the balance of 1996. See Note 5 to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

Through April 25, 1996, PepsiCo has repurchased 20.8 million treasury shares, or 1.3% of the shares outstanding at the beginning of the year, at a cost of \$642 million since fiscal year-end 1995. Of the 20.8 million shares, 5.6 million were purchased under the share repurchase authority granted by PepsiCo's Board of Directors in July 1993. The remaining 15.2 million shares were purchased under a new share repurchase authority granted by PepsiCo's Board of Directors in February 1996 leaving 84.8 million shares available under the new repurchase authority. This new authority replaced the repurchase authority remaining under the July 1993 resolution.

### Financial Condition

At March 23, 1996 and December 30, 1995, \$3.5 billion of short-term borrowings were classified as long-term, reflecting PepsiCo's intent and ability, through the existence of its unused revolving credit facilities, to refinance these borrowings on a long-term basis. PepsiCo's unused credit facilities with lending institutions, which exist largely to support the issuances of short-term borrowings, were \$3.5 billion at March 23, 1996 and December 30, 1995.

### - -28-

As described in PepsiCo's 1995 Annual Report, PepsiCo measures financial leverage on a market value basis as well as a historical cost basis. PepsiCo's market value ratio was 13% at March 23, 1996 and 18% at December 30, 1995. The decrease was primarily due to a 13% increase in PepsiCo's stock price. PepsiCo's historical cost ratio of net debt to net capital employed was 47% at March 23, 1996 and 46% at December 30, 1995. The increase primarily reflected a 2% increase in net debt.

PepsiCo's operating working capital position, which excludes shortterm investments and short-term borrowings, was a positive \$237 million at March 23, 1996 as compared to a negative \$94 million at December 30, 1995 and a negative \$203 million at March 25, 1995. PepsiCo has historically had a negative operating working capital position, which principally reflects the cash sales nature of its restaurant operations. This condition effectively provided additional capital for investment. positive working capital position at the end of the first quarter compared  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right)$ to the first quarter 1995 negative working capital reflects PepsiCo's continued trend of increased investments in its more working capital intensive bottling and snack food businesses combined with a decline in the number of company-operated restaurants. The \$331 million increase in working capital compared to the amount at December 30, 1995 was primarily due to lower accounts payable, led by the U.S. restaurant businesses, reduced accrued compensation and benefits, lower accrued marketing, primarily U.S. beverages and snack foods, and increased prepaid expenses, taxes and other current assets. These increases in working capital were partially offset by a reclassification from long-term to current liabilities.

Shareholders' equity increased \$50 million as net income of \$394 million and a \$66 million increase in capital in excess of par value were partially offset by \$157 million of dividends declared, a \$232 million increase in treasury stock and a \$21 million net unfavorable change in the currency translation account.

Forward-Looking Statements - Safe Harbor From time to time, in both written reports and oral statements by PepsiCo senior management, we may express our expectations regarding future performance of the Company. These "forward-looking statements" are inherently uncertain, and investors must recognize that events could turn out to be other than what senior management expected. Key factors impacting current and future performance are described in PepsiCo's 1995 Annual Report in Management's Analysis - Worldwide Marketplace on page 14.

- -29-

Independent Accountants' Review Report

The Board of Directors PepsiCo, Inc.

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of PepsiCo, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of March 23, 1996 and the related condensed consolidated statements of income and cash flows for the twelve weeks ended March 23, 1996 and March 25, 1995. These financial statements are the responsibility of PepsiCo, Inc.'s management.

We conducted our review in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical review procedures to financial data and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, the consolidated balance sheet of PepsiCo, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 30, 1995, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended not presented herein; and in our report dated February 6, 1996, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 30, 1995, is fairly presented, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

Our report, referred to above, contains an explanatory paragraph that states that PepsiCo, Inc. in 1995 adopted the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of," and in 1994 adopted the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 112, "Employers' Accounting for Postemployment Benefits" and changed its method for calculating the market-related value of pension plan assets used in the determination of pension expense.

#### Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

### (a) Exhibit Index

Exhibit 11 -Computation of Net Income Per Share of Capital Stock - Primary and Fully Diluted

Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Exhibit 12 -Fixed Charges

Exhibit 15 -Letter from KPMG Peat Marwick LLP regarding Unaudited Interim Financial Information (Accountants' Acknowledgment)

Financial Data Schedule Exhibit 27 -

### (b) Reports on Form 8-K

PepsiCo filed a Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 10, 1996 attaching the PepsiCo, Inc. press release of January 9, 1996 which announced its adoption in 1995 of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of."

PepsiCo filed a Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 7, 1996 attaching PepsiCo, Inc.'s 1995 earnings release of February 6, 1996.

- -31-

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned.

Date May 6, 1996

Robert L. Carleton Senior Vice President and Controller

Date May 6, 1996

Lawrence F. Dickie Vice President, Associate General Counsel and Assistant Secretary

- -32-

PEPSICO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Computation of Net Income Per Share of Capital Stock - Primary (a) (in millions except per share amounts, unaudited)

|  | 12 Weeks<br>3/23/96 |         |
|--|---------------------|---------|
| Shares outstanding at beginning of period  | 1,576               | 1,580   |
| Weighted average of shares issued during the period for exercise of stock options, conversion of debentures and payment of compensation  |                     |         |
| awards   | 4                   | 2       |
| Shares repurchased (weighted)  | (5)                 | (5)     |
| Dilutive shares contingently issuable upon exercise of stock options, conversion of debentures and payment of compensation awards, net of shares assumed to have been purchased for treasury (at the average price) with assumed proceeds from exercise of stock options and compensation awards | 44                  | 22      |
| Total shares - primary   | 1,619               | 1,599   |
| Net income   | \$ 394              | \$ 321  |
| Net income per share - primary   | \$ 0.24             | \$ 0.20 |

(a) Adjusted to reflect a two-for-one stock split described in Note 2 to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

- -33-PEPSICO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Computation of Net Income Per Share of Capital Stock - Fully Diluted (a) (in millions except per share amounts, unaudited)

|  | 12 Weeks<br>3/23/96 |       |
|--|---------------------|-------|
| Shares outstanding at beginning of period  | 1,576               | 1,580 |
| Shares issued during the period for exercise of stock options, conversion of debentures and payment of compensation awards | 9                   | 4     |
| Shares repurchased (weighted)  | (5)                 | (5)   |
| Dilutive shares contingently issuable  |                     |       |

upon exercise of stock options, conversion of debentures and payment of compensation awards, net of

shares assumed to have been purchased for treasury (at the higher of average or quarter-end price) with assumed proceeds from exercise of stock options and compensation awards

| compensation awards                  | 44      | 26      |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Total shares - fully diluted         | 1,624   | 1,605   |
| Net income                           | \$ 394  | \$ 321  |
| Net income per share - fully diluted | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.20 |

PEPSICO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges (page 1 of 2) (in millions except ratio amounts, unaudited)

> 12 Weeks Ended 3/23/96 3/25/95

| _  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ea | r | n | 7 | n | n | C | ٠ |
| -a |   |   | ㅗ |   | ч | 0 |   |

| Income before income taxes                 | \$588 | \$496     |
|--|-------|-----------|
| Joint ventures and minority interests, net | 10    | 5         |
| Amortization of capitalized interest       | 1     | 1         |
| Interest expense                           | 141   | 160       |
| Interest portion of net rent expense (a)   | 39    | 38        |
| Earnings available for fixed charges       | \$779 | \$700     |
| Fixed Charges:                             |       |           |
| Interest expense                           | \$141 | \$160     |
| Capitalized interest                       | 3     | 1         |
| Interest portion of net rent expense (a)   | 39    | 38        |
| Total fixed charges                        | \$3   | 183 \$199 |
| Ratio of Earnings<br>to Fixed Charges      | 4.26  | 3.52      |

<sup>(</sup>a) One-third of net rent expense is the portion deemed representative of the interest factor.

- -35-PEPSICO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges (page 2 of 2) (in millions except ratio amounts, unaudited)

52 Weeks 53 Weeks Ended Ended 52 Weeks Ended 12/30/95 12/31/94 12/25/93 12/26/92 12/28/91 Earnings: (a) Income before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting \$2,432 changes \$2,664 \$2,423 \$1,899 \$1,660 Joint ventures and minority interests, net 11 (19)(6) (1) (6) Amortization of 5 5 capitalized interest 6 5 5 Interest expense 682 645 573 586 614 Interest portion of net

| rent expense (b)                         | 156     | 150 13         | 4 122     | 103     |
|--|---------|----------------|-----------|---------|
| Earnings available for fixed charges     | \$3,287 | \$3,445 \$3,12 | 9 \$2,611 | \$2,376 |
| Fixed Charges:                           |         |                |           |         |
| Interest expense                         | \$ 682  | \$ 645 \$ 57   | 3 \$ 586  | \$ 614  |
| Capitalized interest                     | 10      | 5              | 7 7       | 10      |
| Interest portion of net rent expense (b) | 156     | 150 13         | 4 122     | 103     |
| Total fixed charges                      | \$ 848  | \$ 800 \$ 71   | 4 \$ 715  | \$ 727  |
| Ratio of Earnings<br>to Fixed Charges    | 3.88    | 4.31 4.3       | 8 3.65    | 3.27    |

- (a) To improve comparability, the 1991 amounts have been restated to report, under the equity method of accounting, the results of previously consolidated snack food businesses in Spain, Portugal and Greece, which were contributed to the new Snack Ventures Europe joint venture with General Mills, Inc. in late 1992.
- (b) One-third of net rent expense is the portion deemed representative of the interest factor.

The Board of Directors PepsiCo, Inc.

We hereby acknowledge our awareness of the use of our report dated April 30, 1996 included within the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of PepsiCo, Inc. for the twelve weeks ended March 23, 1996, and incorporated by reference in the following Registration Statements and in the related Prospectuses:

| Description   | Registration<br>Statement Number |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Form S-3  |                                  |
| Pizza Hut Cincinnati, Inc. and Tri-L Pizza Huts,      |                                  |
| Inc. acquisitions                                     | 33-37271                         |
| PepsiCo SharePower Stock Option Plan for Employees    |                                  |
| of Monsieur Henri Wines, Ltd.                         | 33-35601, 33-42122,              |
| 33-56666 & 33-66146                                   |                                  |
| PepsiCo SharePower Stock Option Plan for Opco         |                                  |
| Employees   | 33-30658 & 33-38014              |
| PepsiCo SharePower Stock Option Plan for PCDC         |                                  |
| Employees   | 33-42121                         |
| PepsiCo SharePower Stock Option Plan for Employees    |                                  |
| of Chevys, Inc.                                       | 33-66144                         |
| PepsiCo SharePower Stock Option Plan for Employees of |                                  |
| Southern Tier Pizza Hut, Inc. and STPH Delco, Inc.    | 33-66148                         |
| Pepsi-Cola Bottling Company Annapolis acquisition     | 33-30372                         |
| \$500,000,000 Euro-Medium-Term Notes                  | 33-8677                          |
| \$2,500,000,000 Debt Securities and Warrants          | 33-39283                         |
| Semoran Management Corporation acquisition            | 33-47527                         |
| \$32,500,000 Puerto Rico Industrial, Medical and      |                                  |
| Environmental Pollution Control Facilities            |                                  |
| Financing Authority Adjustable Rate Industrial        |                                  |
| Revenue Bonds   | 33-53232                         |
| \$3,322,000,000 Debt Securities and Warrants          | 33-57181                         |
| \$2,500,000,000 Debt Securities and Warrants          | 33-51389                         |
| Extension of the PepsiCo SharePower Stock Option      |                                  |
| Plan to Employees of Snack Ventures Europe, a         |                                  |
| joint venture between PepsiCo Foods International     |                                  |
| and General Mills, Inc.                               | 33-50685                         |
| \$4,587,000,000 Debt Securities and Warrants          | 33-64243                         |
|   |                                  |
| Form S-4  |                                  |
| Erin Investment Corp. acquisition                     | 33-31844                         |
| A&M Food Services, Inc. acquisition                   | 33-4635                          |
| Pizza Hut Titusville, Inc. acquisition                | 33-21607                         |
| U.S. Kentucky Fried Chicken operations of Collins     |                                  |
| Foods International, Inc. acquisition                 | 33-37978                         |
| Pizza Management, Inc. acquisition                    | 33-47314                         |
|   | <b></b>                          |
|   |                                  |

| 37-   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| Form S-8  |                     |
| PepsiCo SharePower Stock Option Plan            | 33-35602, 33-29037, |
| 33-42058, 33-51496,                             | 33-54731 & 33-66150 |
| PepsiCo SharePower Stock Option Plan for Opco   |                     |
| Employees                                       | 33-43189            |
| 1988 Director Stock Plan                        | 33-22970            |
| 1979 Incentive Plan and the 1987 Incentive Plan | 33-19539            |
| 1994 Long-Term Incentive Plan                   | 33-54733            |
| 1995 Stock Option Incentive Plan                | 33-61731            |
| 1979 Incentive Plan                             | 2-65410             |
| PepsiCo, Inc. Long Term Savings Program         | 2-82645, 33-51514 & |
| 33-60965  |                     |
| Long Term Savings Programs of Taco Bell Corp.,  |                     |
| Pizza Hut, Inc. and Kentucky Fried              |                     |
| Chicken Corporation, respectively               | 2-93163, 2-99532 &  |
|   | 33-10488            |
| Restaurant Deferred Compensation Plan           | 333-01377           |

Pursuant to Rule 436(c) of the Securities Act of 1933, such report is not considered a part of a registration statement prepared or certified by an accountant or a report prepared or certified by an accountant within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

KPMG Peat Marwick LLP New York, New York May 6, 1996

```
THIS SCHEDULE CONTAINS SUMMARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION
                   EXTRACTED FROM PEPSICO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE 12 WEEKS
                   ENDED MARCH 23, 1996 AND IS QUALIFIED IN ITS ENTIRETY BY REFERENCE TO SUCH FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.
            0000077476
           PepsiCo, Inc.
   1,000,000
          Dec-26-1996
                Mar-23-1996
                       3-M0S
                                427
                     1,062
                    2,405
                       158
                       1,058
                5,615
                            16,886
                   7,037
                  25,413
          5,566
                            8,208
                               29
               0
                            0
                        7,334
25,413
                            6,554
                6,554
                               3,206
                    3,206
                     0
                    15
                141
                    588
                        194
               394
                        0
                       0
                        394
```

.24